Jan 25, 1982
Clerk, U.S. Ct Appeals.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOHN E. KALIN,	)	No. 80-5736
	)	
PLaintiff/Appellant	)	D.C. No 79-0958
	)	RJK
v.	)	MEMORANDUM
	)	
AEROSPACE CORPORATION, ET. AL.,	)	
Defendants/Appelles.	)	
	)	

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Robert J. Kelleher, District Judge, Presiding, Argued and submitted December 10, 1981

Before: SCHROEDER, FLETCHER, and CANBY, Circuit Judges.

Appellant's underlying claims against Aerospace Corporation, as well as his claims against his three attorneys, have been litigated previously and are barred by res judicata. Scoggin v. Schrunk, 522 F.2d 436 (9th Cir. 1975), cert. denied, 423 U.S. 1066, 96 S. Ct. 807 (1976). Plaintiff's claims of conspiracy to deprive him of his civil rights lacks substance and was properly dismissed. Appellant "simply did not allege any facts

showing the deprivation of any constitutional or other legal rights." Aldabe v. Aldabe, 616 F.2d 1089 (9th Cir. (1980). The claims against the judicial defendants relate to actions that were clearly within their jurisdiction and the claims were properly dismissed on grounds of judicial immunity. Stump v Sparkman, 435 U.S. 349, 98 S. Ct. 1099 (1978); Aldabe, supra, at 1091.

The district court's dismissal of all of appellant's claims is affirmed.

Filed Mar 1 1982, Clerk
U.S. Court of Appeals

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOHN E. KALIN, Plaintiff/Appellant	No. 80-5736 D.C.# 79-0958-
v.	ORDER
AEROSPACE CORPORATION, et. al., Defensants-Appellees.	

Before: SCHROEDER, FLETCHER, and CANBY, Circuit Judges.

The panel as constituted in the above voted to deny the petition for rehearing.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

(LODGED MAR 11 1982
Clerk, U.S. District
Court Central District
of California.)

JOHN E. KALIN,
PLaintiff-Appellant,

vs.

AEROSPACE CORPORATION,
et. al.,
Defendants-Appellees.

No. 80-5736
DC CV 79-0958 RJK

APPEAL from the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

THIS CAUSE came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record from the United States District Court for the Central District of California and was duly submitted.

ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF, It is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the judgment of the said District Court in this Cause be, and hereby is affirmed.

Filed & Entered January 25, 1982.